arXiv:math/0211125v1 [math.AC] 6 Nov 2002

SPLITTING ALGEBRAS, SYMMETRIC FUNCTIONS AND GALOIS THEORY

T. Ekedahl and D. Laksov

Department of Mathematics, University of Stockholm,
and Department of Mathematics, KTH, Stockholm

November 2002

Abstract. We present a theory for splitting algebras of monic polynomials over rings, and apply the results to symmetric functions, and Galois theory. Our main result is that the ring of invariants of a splitting algebra under the symmetric group almost always is the ring of coefficients.

Introduction

Splitting algebras of monic polynomials with coefficients in a ring appear in a natural way in many different parts of mathematics, and the theory of splitting algebras is useful in several context. It offers, for example, an attractive approach to Galois Theory, and it forms the natural setting for resultants and discriminants, and for the study of symmetric polynomials. Moreover, the cohomology rings of flag spaces are splitting algebras.

Splitting algebras are constructed in most second courses in algebra, although the students and teachers are usually not aware of this (see, [B] Definition §5 AIV.67, and section IV for an exception). For all these reasons it is curious that splitting algebras and their properties are unknown to most mathematicians.

In this article we shall try to compensate for this ignorance by presenting two different constructions of splitting algebras of monic polynomials with coefficients in a commutative ring with unity, and prove the main results about splitting algebras. The most important, and surprising, result is that if $f(t)$ is a monic polynomial of degree n with coefficients in a ring A, then the *symmetric group* $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on n letters operates on the splitting algebra A_f of $f(t)$ in a natural way, such that the ring of invariants under this action is A, when 2, or the discriminant of $f(t)$, is neither zero nor a zero divisor in A. We do not know much about the exceptional cases when the ring of invariants is strictly larger than A. It would be satisfactory to have more information on when this happens, and what the non constant invariants look like.

One of the *classical* and best known results in algebra is the Main Theorem on Symmetric Functions that consists of the following three assertions (see e.g. [B] §6 Théorème 1 AIV58.):

Typeset by $\mathcal{A}_\mathcal{M}\mathcal{S}$-TEX

Let $t, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ be independent variables over a ring A, and let $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ be the elementary symmetric functions in these variables.

1. *The ring of invariants of $A[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n]$ under permutations of the variables $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ is $A[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n]$.*
2. *The elementary symmetric functions $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ are algebraically independent over A, that is, they are not roots of a non-zero polynomial in n variables with coefficients in A.*
3. *The ring $A[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n]$ is free as a $A[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n]$-module. A basis is given by the monomials $t_1^{m_1} t_2^{m_2} \cdots t_n^{m_n}$ with $0 \leq m_i < i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.*

It is less known that the Main Theorem of Symmetric Functions follows from corresponding properties of splitting algebras of *generic* polynomials. The advantage of obtaining the Main Theorem of Symmetric Functions from the properties of splitting algebras is that the results for splitting algebras are valid in much greater generality. As an additional benefit we have that the correspondence between the Main Theorem of Symmetric Functions and the properties of splitting algebras of generic polynomials make the proofs easy and conceptual.

We also indicate how splitting algebras can be used to obtain an attractive approach to Galois Theory. Because of the universal character of splitting algebras the *splitting field* L of a polynomial of degree n over a field K is the residue field $\varphi : K_f \to L$ of the splitting algebra K_f of $f(t)$ over K. Via φ the Galois Group $\mathrm{G}(L/K)$ of L over K is simply the subgroup of the symmetric group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ that preserve the kernel of φ. This approach appears to be a natural way of considering the Galois Theory of L over K, essentially equivalent to the original way of considering Galois groups as the group of permutations of the roots of a polynomial that preserve all the relations between the roots. It is worth noting that whereas there are examples in all positive characteristics of non-trivial extensions with trivial Galois group, the ring of invariants of K_f under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ is K when the characteristic is different from 2.

As a typical illustration of our approach to Galois theory we prove that an irreducible polynomial with coefficients in K that has a root f in the splitting field L of f has all its roots in L. We choose an element τ in K_f such that $\varphi(\tau) = l$. By our results on the invariants of K_f under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ (Theorem (2.4) and Corollary (2.5)) we have that the polynomial $\prod_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (t - \sigma(\tau))$ has coefficients in K. Hence the polynomial $h(t) = \prod_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_n} (t - \varphi\sigma(\tau))$ has coefficients in K and splits completely in L. The root corresponding to $\sigma = \mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}$ is l. Hence the irreducible polynomial $g(t)$ divides $h(t)$ and thus have all its roots in L.

We shall make no effort to systematically exploit the connection between splitting algebras and Galois Theory, but content ourselves with proving that L is unique up to K-isomorphisms, and that the field of invariants under the action of $\mathrm{G}(K/L)$ on L is equal to K when $f(t)$ is separable over K. The proofs are of a different character than those commonly given in Galois Theory, and are slightly more technical than those of the rest of this article.

1. Splitting algebras

We shall give two different constructions of the splitting algebra of a monic polynomial with coefficients in a ring. Each of the constructions reveals a different face of splitting algebras and each leads to valuable information.

1.1 Notation and conventions. All rings will be commutative with unity. We say that an element of a ring A is *regular* if it is neither zero, nor a zero-divisor. An *algebra* over a ring A is a ring B with a ring homomorphism $\varphi : A \to B$. Often we simply write $\varphi : A \to B$ for an A-algebra. We say that a ring homomorphism $\chi : B \to C$ between two A-algebras $\varphi : A \to B$ and $\psi : A \to C$ is an A-*algebra homomorphism* when $\psi = \chi\varphi$.

Let $\chi : B \to C$ be an A-algebra homomorphism. For each polynomial

$$g(t) = t^n - g_1 t^{n-1} + g_2 t^{n-2} - \cdots + (-1)^n g_n$$

in the variable t with coefficients in the A-algebra B we write

$$(\chi g)(t) = t^n - \chi(g_1) t^{n-1} + \chi(g_2) t^{n-2} - \cdots + (-1)^n \chi(g_n)$$

for the corresponding polynomial with coefficients in C.

Let A be a ring and let $t, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ be *independent variables* over A. We denote by $A[t, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n]$ the ring of polynomials in the variables $t, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ with coefficients in A. The *elementary symmetric functions* $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ in the variables $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ are defined by the identity

$$t^n - s_1 t^{n-1} + s_2 t^{n-2} - \cdots + (-1)^n s_n = (t - t_1)(t - t_2) \cdots (t - t_n) \tag{1.1.1}$$

in the polynomial ring $A[t, t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n] = A[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n][t]$.

1.2 Definition. Let $f(t) = t^n - f_1 t^{n-1} + f_2 t^{n-2} - \cdots + (-1)^n f_n$ be a *monic* polynomial with coefficients in the ring A. The *splitting algebra* A_f of $f(t)$ over A is the *residue ring* of the polynomial ring $A[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n]$ modulo the ideal generated by the elements $s_1 - f_1, s_2 - f_2, \dots, s_n - f_n$.

Let

$$\varphi_f : A[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n] \to A_f$$

be the residue map and write

$$\varphi_f(t_i) = \tau_i \quad \text{for} \quad i = 1, 2, \dots, n.$$

By definition we have that $\varphi_f(s_i) = \varphi_f(f_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. Hence, when we apply φ_f to the polynomials on both sides of the identity (1.1.1), we obtain a *complete splitting*

$$t^n - f_1 t^{n-1} + f_2 t^{n-2} - \cdots + (-1)^n f_n = (t - \tau_1)(t - \tau_2) \cdots (t - \tau_n) \tag{1.2.1}$$

of $f(t)$. We call the splitting (1.2.1) the *universal splitting*, and we call $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$ the *universal roots.*

1.3 The universal property. *For every A-algebra $\varphi : A \to B$ and every family of elements $\upsilon_1, \upsilon_2, \dots, \upsilon_n$ in B such that we have a complete factorization*

$$t^n - \varphi(f_1) t^{n-1} + \varphi(f_2) t^{n-2} - \cdots + (-1)^n \varphi(f_n) = (t - \upsilon_1)(t - \upsilon_2) \cdots (t - \upsilon_n) \tag{1.3.1}$$

of $(\varphi f)(t)$, there is a unique A-algebra homomorphism

$$\psi : A_f = A[\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n] \to B$$

determined by $\psi(\tau_i) = \upsilon_i$ *for* $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

Proof. Let $\chi : A[t_1, t_2, \ldots, t_n] \to B$ be the unique A-algebra homomorphism determined by $\chi(t_i) = \upsilon_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Then (1.3.1) can be written

$$\begin{aligned} t^n - \chi(f_1)t^{n-1} + \chi(f_2)t^{n-2} - \cdots + (-1)^n\chi(f_n) \\ = (t - \chi(t_1))(t - \chi(t_2)) \cdots (t - \chi(t_n)). \quad (1.3.2) \end{aligned}$$

When we apply χ to the polynomials on both sides of (1.1.1) we obtain that

$$t^n - \chi(s_1)t^{n-1} + \chi(s_2)t^{n-2} - \cdots + (-1)^n\chi(t_n) = (t - \chi(t_1))(t - \chi(t_2)) \cdots (t - \chi(t_n)). \quad (1.3.3)$$

From equations (1.3.2) and (1.3.3) it follows that $\chi(f_i) = \chi(s_i)$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Hence χ factors uniquely through an A-algebra homomorphism $\psi : A_f \to B$ such that $\psi(\tau_i) = \upsilon_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$.

1.4 Remark. As long as we allow the base ring A to be arbitrary some care is needed when we treat uniqueness. It is true in general that $t - a$ is regular in $A[t]$ for any $a \in A$ but that does not imply that if a monic polynomial has a complete splitting then that splitting is unique. As an example, assume that we have $0 \neq \delta \in A$ with $\delta^2 = 0$. Then we have that $t^2 = (t + \delta)(t - \delta)$.

1.5 Uniqueness. It follows from the universal property of section (1.3) that A_f is unique up to isomorphisms. More precisely, if $\chi : A \to A'$ is another A-algebra such that we have a complete splitting $(\chi f)(t) = (t - \tau'_1)(t - \tau'_2) \cdots (t - \tau'_n)$ with roots $\tau'_1, \tau'_2, \ldots, \tau'_n$ in A', and such that A' satisfies the universal property of (1.3), then there is a unique isomorphism of A-algebras $\psi : A_f \to A'$ such that $\psi(\tau_i) = \tau'_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. In fact, the existence of such an A-algebra homomorphism ψ follows from the universal property of A_f. On the other hand the universal property of A' guarantees the existence of an A-algebra homomorphism $\psi' : A' \to A_f$ such that $\psi'(\tau'_i) = \tau_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. Finally the universality of A_f implies that $\psi'\psi$ is the identity on A, and the universality of A' that $\psi\psi'$ is the identity on A'.

1.6 Action of the symmetric group. Let $\mathfrak{S}_n$ be the *symmetric group* of permutations of the integers $\{1, 2, \ldots, n\}$. For each permutation σ we have a rearrangement $\tau_{\sigma^{-1}(1)}, \tau_{\sigma^{-1}(2)}, \ldots, \tau_{\sigma^{-1}(n)}$ of the universal roots of $f(t)$. Hence it follows from the universal property (1.3) for A_f that there is a corresponding A-algebra homomorphism

$$\varphi_\sigma : A_f \to A_f$$

determined by $\varphi_\sigma(\tau_i) = \tau_{\sigma^{-1}(i)}$ for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, n$. It is clear that for ρ and σ in $\mathfrak{S}_n$ we have that

$$\varphi_{\mathrm{id}_{\mathfrak{S}_n}} = \mathrm{id}_{A_f} \quad \text{and that} \quad \varphi_{\sigma\rho} = \varphi_\sigma\varphi_\rho.$$

In other words, the group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ *acts* on the A algebra A_f.

1.7 Alternative construction of the splitting algebra. We start the alternative construction with the A-algebra $A = A_n$ and the polynomial $f(t) = f_n(t)$ and construct, by descending induction on i, A-algebras $A_i = A[\upsilon_n, \upsilon_{n-1}, \ldots, \upsilon_{i+1}]$ and polynomials $f_i(t)$ in $A_i[t]$ for $i = n-1, n-2, \ldots, 0$ as follows:

Assume that we have defined $A_i = A[\upsilon_n, \upsilon_{n-1}, \ldots, \upsilon_{i+1}]$ and $f_i(t)$ in $A_i[t]$. We define A_{i-1} by $A_{i-1} = A_i[t]/(f_i(t))$ and we let υ_i be the class of t in A_{i-1}. Then

$A_{i-1} = A_i[\upsilon_i] = A[\upsilon_n, \upsilon_{n-1}, \dots, \upsilon_i]$, and we define $f_{i-1}(t)$ by $f_{i-1}(t) = f_i(t)/(t - \upsilon_i)$.

Note that $A_0 = A_1$ since $f_1(t) = t - \upsilon_1$.

The A-algebra A_0 is canonically isomorphic to A_f. In fact, the A-algebra A_0 has the universal property (1.3). To see this we note that $f(t)$ splits as $f(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n (t - \upsilon_i)$ in $A_0[t]$, and for every A-algebra $\varphi : A \to B$ such that $(\varphi f)(t) = \prod_{i=1}^n (t - \sigma_i)$ with $\sigma_1, \sigma_2, \dots, \sigma_n$ in B we can, by descending induction on i, construct an A-algebra homomorphism $A_i \to B$ for $i = n, n-1, \dots, 0$ which maps υ_j to σ_j for $j = n, n-1, \dots, i$.

1.8 Remark. A useful consequence of the alternative construction is that the natural map $A[\tau_n]_{f_{n-1}} \to A_f$ is an A-algebra isomorphism.

1.9 Remark. The formation of splitting algebras is compatible with *extension* of scalars. That is, when $\varphi : A \to B$ is a ring homomorphism the canonical map $A_f \to B_{\varphi f}$ resulting from the universal splitting of φf in $B_{\varphi f}$ gives, by extension of scalars, an isomorphism of B-algebras

$$B \otimes_A A_f \to B_{\varphi f}.$$

1.10 Proposition. *The splitting algebra $A_f = A[\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n]$ is a free module over the ring A with a basis consisting of the elements $\tau_2^{m_2} \tau_3^{m_3} \cdots \tau_n^{m_n}$ with $0 \leq m_i < i$ for $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$.*

Proof. The polynomial $f_i(t)$ of the alternative construction (1.7) is monic of degree i, and has coefficients in A. Hence the A_i-algebra $A_{i-1} = A_i[t]/(f_i(t))$ is a free A_i-module of rank n with basis $1, \upsilon_i, \dots, \upsilon_i^{n-1}$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. The proposition consequently follows from the inductive definition of $A_0 = A_1$.

2. SPLITTING ALGEBRAS OF GENERIC POLYNOMIALS

We shall prove the main properties of splitting algebras of *generic* polynomials, that is, polynomials whose coefficients are independent variables over a base ring. These properties are collected in the Main Theorem on Splitting Algebras of Generic Polynomials. The properties are analogous to the properties of the Main Theorem of Symmetric Functions. In fact we observe that the two *Main Theorems* are equivalent.

2.1 Definition. Let $f(t) = t^n - f_1 t^{n-1} + f_2 t^{n-2} - \cdots + (-1)^n f_n$ be a polynomial in the variable t with coefficients in the ring A, and let $A_f = A[\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n]$ be the splitting algebra of $f(t)$ over A with universal roots $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$. We write

$$\operatorname{Dis}(f) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2} \prod_{i \neq j} (\tau_i - \tau_j) = \prod_{i > j} (\tau_i - \tau_j)^2$$

and call $\operatorname{Dis}(f)$ the *discriminant* of $f(t)$.

2.2 Lemma. *Let B be an A-algebra and let $f(t) = t^n - f_1 t^{n-1} + f_2 t^{n-2} - \cdots + (-1)^n f_n$ be a polynomial with coefficients in B. Write $B_f = B[\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n]$ where $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$ are the roots of $f(t)$ in B_f. Assume that the discriminant* $\operatorname{Dis}(f)$ *is regular in B_f. Then B is the ring of invariants of B_f under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$.*

Proof. Let υ be an element in B_f which is invariant under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$. It follows from Proposition (1.10) that we have a unique expression

$$\upsilon = \sum_{0 \leq m_i < i, s \leq i \leq n} g(m_s, m_{s+1}, \dots, m_n) \tau_s^{m_s} \tau_{s+1}^{m_{s+1}} \cdots \tau_n^{m_n},$$

with $g(m_s, m_{s+1}, \dots, m_n)$ in B and where $s \geq 2$. The lemma asserts that all the coefficients $g(m_s, m_{s+1}, \dots, m_n)$ are zero except $g(0, 0, \dots, 0)$. Assume to the contrary that at least one of the coefficients $g(m_s, m_{s+1}, \dots, m_n)$ with $m_s > 0$ is non-zero. Since υ is invariant under $\mathfrak{S}_n$ it is invariant under the transposition σ which interchanges τ_{s-1} and τ_s and keeps the remaining roots fixed. We obtain that

$$(\upsilon - \sigma(\upsilon)) = \sum_I g(m_s, m_{s+1}, \dots, m_n)(\tau_s^{m_s} - \tau_{s-1}^{m_s})(\tau_{s+1}^{m_{s+1}} \cdots \tau_n^{m_n}) = 0, \qquad (2.2.1)$$

where the sum is over the set $I = \{0 \leq m_i < i, s \leq i \leq n, m_s > 0\}$. By assumption the element $\prod_{i \neq j}(\tau_i - \tau_j)$, and thus each element $(\tau_i - \tau_j)$, is regular in B_f. Hence we can divide the term $(\tau_s^{m_s} - \tau_{s-1}^{m_s})$ of (2.2.1) by $(\tau_s - \tau_{s-1})$ to obtain the equation

$$\sum_I g(m_s, m_{s+1}, \dots, m_n)(\sum_{j=1}^{m_s - 1} \tau_{s-1}^j \tau_s^{m_s - 1 - j})(\tau_{s+1}^{m_{s+1}} \cdots \tau_n^{m_n}) = 0. \qquad (2.2.2)$$

All the monomials $\tau_{s-1}^j \tau_s^{m_s - 1 - j} \tau_{s+1}^{m_{s+1}} \cdots \tau_n^{m_n}$ on the left hand side of equation (2.2.2) are different and none of them contains a power of τ_1 since, if $s = 2$ and $m_s > 0$, we have that $m_s - 1 = m_2 - 1 = 0$. Hence (2.2.2) is a non-trivial relation between the monomials $\tau_2^{m_2} \tau_3^{m_3} \cdots \tau_n^{m_n}$ with $0 \leq m_i < i$. This contradicts the assertion of Proposition (1.10), and thus contradicts the assumption that some coefficient $g(m_s, m_{s+1}, \dots, m_n)$ with $m_s > 0$ is non-zero.

2.3 Lemma. *Let $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ be algebraically independent elements over A, and let $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ be the elementary symmetric functions in these variables. Moreover, let $f(t) = t^n - f_1 t^{n-1} + f_2 t^{n-2} - \dots + (-1)^n f_n$ be a polynomial whose coefficients are algebraically independent over A, and let $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$ be the roots of $f(t)$ in the splitting algebra $A[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n]_f$ for $f(t)$ over $A[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n]$. Then there is an isomorphism of A-algebras*

$$A[\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n] \to A[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n]$$

mapping τ_i to t_i, and thus f_i to s_i, for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

Proof. Since $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$ are algebraically independent over A by assumption, there is an A-algebra homomorphism $\varphi : A[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n] \to A[s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n]$ mapping the variable f_i to s_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$. We obtain that $(\varphi f)(t) = t^n - s_1 t^{n-1} + s_2 t^{n-2} - \dots + (-1)^n s_n = \prod_{i=1}^n (t - t_i)$. By the universal property of splitting algebras there is a unique A-algebra homomorphism $\psi : A[\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n] = A[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n]_f \to A[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n]$ such that $\psi(\tau_i) = t_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$, and restricting to φ on $A[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n]$.

We shall construct an inverse to this homomorphism. By assumption the elements $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ are algebraically independent over A. Consequently there is an A-algebra homomorphism $A[t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n] \to A[\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n]$ mapping t_i to τ_i for all i. It is clear that this homomorphism is the inverse to ψ.

2.4 The Main Theorem on Splitting Algebras of Generic Polynomials. *Let* $f(t) = t^n - f_1t^{n-1} + f_2t^{n-2} - \cdots + (-1)^n f_n$ *be a polynomial whose coefficients are algebraically independent over the ring* A. *The following three assertions hold:*

(1) *The ring of invariants of the splitting algebra* $A[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n]_f$ *of* $f(t)$ *over* $A[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n]$ *under the action of* $\mathfrak{S}_n$ *is* $A[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n]$.

(2) *The universal roots* $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$ *of the polynomial* $f(t)$ *in* $A[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n]_f$ *are algebraically independent over* A.

(3) *The ring* $A[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n]_f$ *is free as an* $A[f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n]$*-module. A basis is given by the monomials* $\tau_2^{m_2}\tau_3^{m_3}\cdots\tau_n^{m_n}$ *with* $0 \leq m_i < i$ *for* $i = 2, 3, \dots, n$.

Proof. It follows from Lemma (2.3) that $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$ are algebraically independent over A. That is, the second property of the theorem holds. In particular $\prod_{i\neq j}(\tau_i - \tau_j)$ is neither zero nor a zero divisor in $A_f = A[\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n]$. Hence the first property of the theorem follows from Lemma (2.2). The third property follows from Proposition (1.10).

2.5 Corollary. *Let* $f(t) = t^n - f_1t^{n-1} + f_2t^{n-2} - \cdots + (-1)^n f_n$ *be a polynomial with coefficients in* A *and let* $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$ *be the universal roots of* f *in* A_f. *Moreover let* $\varphi : A_f \to B$ *be a homomorphism of* A*-algebras. For all polynomials* $h(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n)$ *in the variables* $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ *with coefficients in* A *that are invariant under the action of* $\mathfrak{S}_n$ *on the variables, we have that* $h(\varphi(\tau_1), \varphi(\tau_2,), \dots, \varphi(\tau_n))$ *is in* A.

In particular the discriminant $\operatorname{Dis}(f)$ *lies in* A, *and if* $\operatorname{Dis}(f)$ *is regular in* A *we have that* A *is the ring of invariants of* A_f *under the action of* $\mathfrak{S}_n$.

Proof. Let $g(t) = t^n - g_1t^{n-1} + f_2t^{n-2} - \cdots + (-1)^n g_n$ be a polynomial whose coefficients are algebraically independent over A, and let $A_g = A[\upsilon_1, \upsilon_2, \dots, \upsilon_n]$ be the generic splitting algebra, where $\upsilon_1, \upsilon_2, \dots, \upsilon_n$ are the universal roots of $g(t)$. By the universal property of splitting algebras the homomorphism $A[g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n] \to A$ that maps g_i to f_i for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$ can be extended to an A-algebra homomorphism $\psi : A[g_1, g_2, \dots, g_n] \to A_f$ determined by $\psi(\upsilon_i) = \tau_i$ for $i = 1, 2, \dots, n$.

It follows from assertion (1) Theorem (2.4) that $h(\upsilon_1, \upsilon_2, \dots, \upsilon_n)$ is in A, and consequently that

$$\begin{aligned} h(\varphi(\tau_1), \varphi(\tau_2), \dots, \varphi(\tau_n)) &= \varphi(h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n)) \\ &= \varphi(h(\psi(\upsilon_1), \psi(\upsilon_2), \dots, \psi(\upsilon_n)) = \varphi\psi(h(\upsilon_1, \upsilon_2, \upsilon_n) \end{aligned}$$

is in A. Hence we have proved the first part of the Corollary.

When $h(t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2}\prod_{i\neq j}(t_i - t_j)$, and $B = A_f$ with $\varphi = \mathrm{id}_B$, we obtain that $h(\varphi(\tau_1), \varphi(\tau_2), \dots, \varphi(\tau_n)) = h(\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n) = \operatorname{Dis}(f)$. Hence the second part of the Corollary is a consequence of the first part.

It follows from Proposition (1.10) that if $\operatorname{Dis}(f)$ is regular in A then it is regular in A_f. Hence A is the ring of invariants of A_f under $\mathfrak{S}_n$ when $\operatorname{Dis}(f)$ is regular in A. Hence we have proved the last part of the Corollary.

2.6 Theorem. *The Main Theorem on Symmetric Functions is equivalent to the Main Theorem on Splitting Algebras of Generic Polynomials.*

Proof. The assertion of the theorem follows immediately from Lemma (2.3) since the algebraic independence of the elements $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ implies that the elements $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$ are algebraically independent, and the algebraic independence of the elements $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$ implies that the elements $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$ are algebraically independent.

2.7 Remark. It is curious that it is the algebraic independence of the elements $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_n$ that implies the algebraic independence of $s_1, s_2, \dots, s_n$, whereas it is the algebraic independence of the elements $t_1, t_2, \dots, t_n$ that implies the algebraic independence of $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$. This fact, accounts for the difference in the second properties of the Main Theorem on Symmetric Functions and the Main Theorem on Splitting Algebras of Generic Polynomials.

3. THE RING OF INVARIANTS OF SPLITTING ALGEBRAS

We shall now give a different proof of Lemma(2.2). As will be seen it also gives the conclusion of the Lemma under a different condition, namely that 2 is regular in A. It should be noted that the proof is completely independent of the previous section and thus gives an alternative proof of Lemma(2.2) and of the first assertion of Theorem(2.4).

3.1 Theorem. *Let* $f(t) = t^n - f_1 t^{n-1} + f_2 t^{n-2} - + \cdots + (-1)^n f_n$ *be a polynomial with coefficients in the ring* A. *Assume that either of the following two conditions hold:*

(1) *The element* 2 *is regular in* A.
(2) *The element* $\prod_{i \neq j} (\tau_i - \tau_j)$ *is regular in* A_f.

Then A *is the ring of invariants of* A_f *under the action of* $\mathfrak{S}_n$.

Proof. When the degree n of $f(t)$ is 1 the assertions of the theorem obviously hold. We shall prove the case $n = 2$. It follows from Proposition (1.10) that an element which is invariant under $\mathfrak{S}_2$ is of the form $g + h\tau_1 = g + h\tau_2$ with g and h in A. Thus we must have that $h(\tau_1 - \tau_2) = h(f_1 - 2\tau_2) = 0$. In particular it follows from Proposition (1.10) that $2h = 0$. When $(\tau_1 - \tau_2)(\tau_2 - \tau_1)$, or 2, are regular, we obtain that $h = 0$. Hence the theorem holds for $n = 2$.

We now prove the theorem by induction on the degree of $f(t)$, starting with the already proved cases of degree 1 and 2. Assume that $n \geq 3$ and that the theorem holds for $n - 1$. Write $A_f = A[\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n]$ where $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$ are the roots of $f(t)$. It follows from Remark (1.8) that A_f is the splitting algebra of $f_{n-1}(t) = f(t)/(t - \tau_n)$ over $A[\tau_n]$. If 2 is regular in A it follows that it is regular in $A[\tau_n]$. Moreover, if $\prod_{i \neq j} (\tau_i - \tau_j)$ is regular in A_f, then $\prod_{i \neq j} (\tau_i - \tau_j)$ is regular in $A[\tau_n]_{f_{n-1}} = A_f$. By the induction hypothesis applied to the splitting algebra $A[\tau_n]_{f_{n-1}}$ of f_{n-1} over $A[\tau_n]$ every invariant in A_f under $\mathfrak{S}_n$ will be in $A[\tau_n]$ and can therefore be written as $g(\tau_n)$ for some polynomial $g(t)$ of degree strictly less that n and with coefficients in A.

The element $g(\tau_n)$ is invariant under the transposition exchanging τ_{n-1} and τ_n and leaving the remaining roots fixed. Hence we have that $g(\tau_n) = g(\tau_{n-1})$ in $A[\tau_n, \tau_{n-1}] = A[\tau_n][t]/(f_{n-1}(t))$. Consequently we have that $g(t) - g(\tau_n) = h(t) f_{n-1}(t)$ in $A[\tau_n][t]$ for some polynomial $h(t)$. Since $g(t)$ is of degree strictly less than n we see that $h(t)$ is of degree 0 in t. Hence we have that $h = k(\tau_n)$ where $k(s)$ is a polynomial in the variable s of degree strictly less than n with coefficients in A. Hence we have that $g(t) - g(\tau_n) = k(\tau_n) f_{n-1}(t)$ for some polynomial $k(t)$ in $A[\tau_n][t]$. Multiplication by $(t - \tau_n)$ gives that

$$(t - \tau_n)(g(t) - g(\tau_n)) = k(\tau_n) f(t)$$

in $A[\tau_n][t] = A[t][s]/(f(s))$. Consequently we have that

$$(s - t)(g(s) - g(t)) = k(s) f(t) + l(s, t) f(s) \tag{3.1.1}$$

for some polynomial $l(s.t)$ in $A[s,t]$. The left hand side of (3.1.1) has degree at most n in s and $k(s)$ is of degree strictly less than n in s. Hence $l(s,t)$ must be equal to the coefficient g of s^n in $sg(s)$. With $s = t$ in (3.1.1) we see that $k(s) = -l(s,s) = g$. We have proved that

$$(s-t)(g(s)-g(t)) = g(f(s)-f(t)). \tag{3.1.2}$$

Comparing the homogeneous component of degree n on both sides of (3.1.2) we get

$$(s-t)(gs^{n-1}-gt^{n-1}) = g(s^n - t^n) \tag{3.1.3}$$

in $A[s,t]$. The equation (3.1.3) can not be satisfied when $n \geq 3$ unless $g = 0$ and thus $(s-t)(g(s)-g(t)) = 0$. Consequently $g(s) = g(t)$ so that $g(t)$ is in A, and so is $g(\tau_n)$, as we wanted to prove.

3.2 Example. When condition (1) of Theorem (3.1) does not hold, the conclusion of the theorem may not be true. For example, the elements of the form $g + h\tau_1$ in the splitting algebra $A[\tau_1, \tau_2]$ of the polynomial $f(t) = t^2 - f_1 t + f_2$ are invariant under $\mathfrak{S}_2$ when h is in the annihilator of f_1 and $2h = 0$. This is because $g + h\tau_1 = g + h\tau_2$ exactly when $h(\tau_1 - \tau_2) = h(f_1 - 2\tau_2) = 0$. In particular, when $2 = 0$ in A and A has zero divisors the ring of invariants of A_f under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_2$ is, for a suitable polynomial $f(t)$, strictly bigger than A.

3.3 Remark. We saw in Corollary (2.5) that the discriminant of $f(t)$ is in A, and that we can replace the second condition in Theorem (3.1) by the condition that the discriminant does not divide zero in A. We have chosen to present Theorem (3.1) in the apparently less general form to emphasize that the proof is independent of the results of Section 2.

4. Some uses of splitting algebras to Galois theory

We shall illustrate some of the uses of splitting algebras to Galois theory. The first two results hold over an arbitrary base ring A. We say that two polynomials $f(t)$ and $g(t)$ in $A[t]$ are *mutually prime* when the ideal they generate is equal to $A[t]$.

4.1 Lemma. *Let* $f(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} g_i(t)$ *be a monic polynomial of degree* n *which is the product of monic polynomials* $g_i(t)$ *of degree* n_i *that are mutually prime over the field* A*. Denote by* $A_{g_i} = A[\upsilon_{1i}, \upsilon_{2i}, \dots, \upsilon_{n_i i}]$ *the splitting algebra of* $g_i(t)$ *over* A *where the elements* $\upsilon_{1i}, \upsilon_{2i}, \dots, \upsilon_{n_i i} = \upsilon_i$ *are the universal roots of* $g_i(t)$*, and let* $A_f = A[\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n]$*, where* $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$ *are the universal roots of* $f(t)$*. Let* $h_i(t) = g_1(t) \cdots g_i(t)/(t - \upsilon_i) \cdots g_r(t)$ *in* $A[\upsilon_i][t]$*. Then we have a canonical isomorphism*

$$A_f \to \prod_{i=1}^{r} A[\upsilon_i]_{h_i}.$$

Proof. It follows from the Chinese Remainder Theorem that we have a canonical isomorphism $A[t]/(f) \to \prod_{i=1}^{r} A[t]/(g_i)$, that is

$$A[\tau_n] \to \prod_{i=1}^{r} A[\upsilon_i].$$

The image of $f(t)/(t-\tau_n)$ by the projection $A[\tau_n][t] \to A[\upsilon_i][t]$ is h_i. It is clear that since $g_1(t), g_2(t), \ldots, g_r(t)$ are mutually prime over A, the polynomials $g_1(t), \ldots, h_i(t), \ldots, g_r(t)$ are mutually prime over $A[\upsilon_i]$. From the universal property of splitting algebras it consequently follows that

$$A_f = A[\tau_n]_{f/(t-\tau_n)} = \prod_{i=1}^{r} A[\upsilon_i]_{h_i},$$

which is the isomorphism of the lemma.

4.2 Notation. Let $n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r$ be positive integers and let $n = n_1+n_2+\cdots+n_r$. An $(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r)$-*shuffle* of the integers $1, 2, \ldots, n$ is a permutation σ in $\mathfrak{S}_n$ such that when $n_0 = 0$ and $p_i = n_1 + n_2 + \cdots + n_j$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, r$, we have that

$$\sigma(p_{j-1}+1) < \sigma(p_{j-1}+2) < \cdots < \sigma(p_j),$$

for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, r$. The set $\mathfrak{S}(n_1|n_2|\cdots|n_r)$ of $(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r)$ shuffles of $1, 2, \ldots, n$ represents the left cosets in $\mathfrak{S}_n$ of the subgroup $\mathfrak{S}(n_1, n_2, \ldots, n_r)$ that permutes the elements in the sets $(p_{j-1}+1, p_{j-1}+2, \ldots, p_j)$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, r$.

Let $f(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} g_i(t)$ be a product of polynomials $g_i(t)$ of degree n_i. For each shuffle σ in $\mathfrak{S}(n_1|n_2|\cdots|n_r)$ we obtain a map

$$\varphi_\sigma \colon A_f \to A_{g_1} \otimes_A A_{g_2} \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A A_{g_r}$$

which maps $\tau_{\sigma^{-1}(p_{j-1}+1)}, \tau_{\sigma^{-1}(p_{j-1}+2)}, \ldots, \tau_{\sigma^{-1}(p_j)}$ to $\rho_{1j} = 1 \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A \upsilon_{1j} \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A 1, \rho_{2j} = 1 \otimes_i \cdots \otimes_A \upsilon_{2j} \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A 1, \ldots, \rho_{n_j j} = 1 \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A \upsilon_{n_j j} \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A 1$ for $j = 1, 2, \ldots, r$ where υ_{ij} is in the j'th factor. The map φ_σ maps $\tau_1, \tau_2, \ldots, \tau_n$ to the roots $\rho_{11}, \rho_{21}, \ldots, \rho_{n_1 1}, \rho_{12}, \rho_{22}, \ldots, \rho_{n_2 2}, \ldots, \rho_{1r}, \rho_{2r}, \ldots, \rho_{n_r r}$ shuffled by σ. That is $\varphi_\sigma(\tau_i) = \rho_{\sigma(A)j}$ where j is determined by $p_{j-1} < i \leq p_j$.

4.3 Theorem. *Let $f(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} g_i(t)$ be a splitting over A of the monic polynomial $f(t)$ in mutually prime monic polynomials $g_i(t)$. Then the homomorphism*

$$\prod_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}(n_1|n_2|\cdots|n_r)} \varphi_\sigma \colon A_f \to \prod_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}(n_1|n_2|\cdots|n_r)} A_{g_1} \otimes_A A_{g_2} \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A A_{g_r}$$

is an isomorphism.

Proof. We prove the theorem by induction on the degree n of $f(t)$. It is clear that the assertion of the theorem holds when $n = 1$. Assume that the theorem holds for polynomials of degree $n-1$. It follows from Lemma (4.1) that we have an isomorphism

$$A_f \to \prod_{i=1}^{r} A[\upsilon_i]_{h_i}$$

with $h_i(t) = g_1(t) \cdots g_i(t)/(t-\upsilon_i) \cdots g_r(t)$. From the induction assumption it follows that there is an isomorphism

$$A[\upsilon_i] \to \prod_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_i} A[\upsilon_i]_{g_i} \otimes_{A[\upsilon_i]} \cdots \otimes_{A[\upsilon_i]} A[\upsilon_i]_{g_i/(t-\upsilon_i)} \otimes_{A[\upsilon_i]} \cdots \otimes_{A[\upsilon_i]} A[\upsilon_i]_{g_r}$$

where the product is over all the $(n_1, \dots, n_i - 1, \dots, n_r)$-shuffles $\mathfrak{S}_i$ of the integers $(1, 2, \dots, \dots, n_i - 1, n_i + 1, \dots, n_r)$. We have that $A[\upsilon_i]_g = A[\upsilon_i] \otimes_A A_g$ for all polynomials g in $A[t]$, and that $A[\upsilon_i]_{g_i/(t-\upsilon_i)} = A_{g_i}$. Consequently it follows from the induction hypothesis that $A[\upsilon_i]_{h_i} = \prod_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_i} A_{g_1} \otimes_A A_{g_2} \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A A_{g_r}$. We thus have an isomorphism

$$A_f \to \prod_{i=1}^{r} A[\upsilon_i]_{h_i} \to \prod_{i=1}^{r} \prod_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}_i} A_{g_1} \otimes_A A_{g_2} \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A A_{g_r}. \tag{4.3.1}$$

The right hand side of (4.3.1) is canonically isomorphic to $\prod_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}(n_1|n_2|\cdots|n_r)} A_{g_1} \otimes_A A_{g_2} \otimes_A \cdots \otimes_A A_{g_r}$ by the map that maps τ_n to $\rho_{n_i i}$ and coincides with σ on $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_{n-1}$. Consequently the isomorphism (4.3.1) is the map described in the theorem.

4.4 Remark. A consequence of Theorem (4.3) is that A_f as a $\mathfrak{S}_n$-representation is induced from the $\mathfrak{S}_\mu$-representation $A_{g_1} \bigotimes \cdots \bigotimes A_{g_r}$, where μ is the partition $\{n_1, \dots, n_r\}$.

4.5 Proposition. *Let $f(t)$ be a monic polynomial with coefficients in a field K. The group $\mathfrak{S}_n$ operates transitively on the maximal ideals of K_f.*

Proof. Let L be an algebraic extension of K where the polynomial $f(t)$ splits. The maximal ideals in K_f are the intersection of the maximal ideals in $L_f = L \otimes_K K_f$ by the integral extension $K_f \to L \otimes_K K_f$. We can therefore assume that $f(t)$ splits in linear factors in K.

Write $f(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} (t - f_i)^{n_i}$, with $f_1, f_2, \dots, f_r$ different elements in K. It follows from Theorem (4.3) that we have $K_f = \prod_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}(n_1|n_2|\cdots|n_r)} K_{(t-f_1)^{n_1}} \otimes_K K_{(t-f_2)^{n_2}} \otimes_K K_{(t-f_r)^{n_r}}$. Consequently every maximal ideal in K_f comes from a maximal ideal in one of the factors $K_{(t-f_1)^{n_1}} \otimes_K K_{(t-f_2)^{n_2}} \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K K_{(t-f_r)^{n_r}}$. For each positive integer m and each element g of K we have a K-algebra homomorphism $K_{(t-g)^m} \to K_{(t-g)} = K$ which maps the roots $\upsilon_1, \upsilon_2, \dots, \upsilon_m$ of $(t-g)^m$ in $K_{(t-g)^n}$ to g. The kernel of $K_{(t-g)^m} \to K_{(t-g)}$ is therefore the maximal ideal generated by $\upsilon_1 - g, \upsilon_2 - g, \dots, \upsilon_r - g$, and it is nilpotent because $(\upsilon_i - g)^m = 0$ for all i. Hence $K_{(t-f_i)^{n_i}}$ has a single maximal ideal $\mathfrak{J}_i$ whose residue field is K. It follows that $K_{(t-f_1)^{n_1}} \otimes_K K_{(t-f_2)^{n_2}} \otimes_K K_{(t-f_r)^{n_r}}$ has a single maximal ideal $\sum_{i=1}^{r} K_{(t-f_1)^{n_1}} \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K \mathfrak{J}_i \otimes_K \cdots \otimes_K K_{(t-f_r)^{n_r}}$ whose residue field is K. Since $\mathfrak{S}_n$ operates transitively on the factors of $\prod_{\sigma \in \mathfrak{S}(n_1|n_2|\cdots|n_r)} K_{(t-f_1)^{n_1}} \otimes_K K_{(t-f_2)^{n_2}} \otimes_K K_{(t-f_r)^{n_r}}$ we have that it operates transitively on the maximal ideals.

4.6 Corollary. *A splitting field of a polynomial with coefficients in a field K is determined up to K-isomorphisms.*

Proof. Let L be a splitting field of the polynomial $f(t)$ with coefficients in K. We have a natural surjection $K_f = K[\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n] \to L$ mapping the roots $\tau_1, \tau_2, \dots, \tau_n$ of $f(t)$ in K_f to the roots of f in L, in some order. The kernel is a maximal ideal. Hence the corollary follows from the proposition.

4.7 Proposition. *Let $f(t)$ be a polynomial which is separable over a field K, that is $f(t)$ and its formal derivative $f'(t)$ are mutually prime over K. Then we have that*

1. *The field K is the ring of invariants of K_f under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$.*
2. *The splitting algebra K_f can be written as a direct product $K_f = \prod_{i=1}^{s} K_i$ of fields K_i that are separable over K.*

In particular the action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ on K_f permutes the factors in the product $\prod_{i=1}^{s} K_i$ transitively, and all the K_i are K-isomorphic to the same field L. Moreover, if G is the stabilizer of one of the factors, then G operates on L, and K is the ring of invariants under this action.

Proof. Since $f(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{n}(t-\tau_i)$ over K_v we have that $f'(\tau_j) = \prod_{i\neq j}(\tau_j-\tau_i)$. Hence $\mathrm{Dis}(f) = (-1)^{n(n-1)/2}\prod_{j=1}^{n} f'(\tau_j)$. When $f(t)$ is separable over K we therefore have that $\mathrm{Dis}(f)$ is invertible in K_f. It follows from Lemma (2.2) or Theorem (3.1) that the ring of invariants of K_f under the action of $\mathfrak{S}_n$ is K.

We prove the second part of the proposition by induction on the degree n of $f(t)$. The proposition holds for polynomials of degree 1. Assume that it holds for polynomials of degree strictly smaller than n.

Write $f(t)$ as a product $f(t) = \prod_{i=1}^{r} g_i(t)$ of irreducible polynomials $g_i(t)$ in $K[t]$ that are relatively prime over K. It follows from Lemma (4.1) that the ring $K[\tau_n]_{(f(t)/(t-\tau_n))}$ can be written as a product of fields $K[\upsilon_i]_{h_i}$ where $K[\upsilon_i] = K[t]/(g_i)$ and $h_i(t) = g_1(t)\cdots g_i(t)/(t-\upsilon_i)\ldots g_r(t)$. It is clear that each $g_i(t)$ is separable over K, and that $g_i(t)/(t-\upsilon_i)$ is separable over $K[\upsilon_i]$. In particular we have that $K[\upsilon_i]$ is a separable field extension of K. It follows from the induction hypothesis that $K[\upsilon_i]_{h_i}$ is a product of fields that are separable over $K[\upsilon_i]$, and consequently are separable over K. Hence we can write K_f as a product of separable field extensions of K.

To prove the last part of the proposition we observe that assertion (2) of the proposition is equivalent to writing K_f as a product $K_f = \prod_{i=1}^{r} K_i e_i$ where K_i are subfields of K_f that are separable over K and $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r$ are the primitive idempotents of K_f. Since the e_i are the only primitive idempotents in K_f they are permuted by $\mathfrak{S}_n$. The orbit of e_i under this action is invariant under $\mathfrak{S}_n$ and thus in K. Hence it must be equal to $\sum_{i=1}^{r} e_i$, that is, $\mathfrak{S}_n$ operates transitively on the idempotents $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r$. It follows that the fields K_i are isomorphic. Let G be the stabilizer of one of the idempotents e_i. Then G operates on K_i and the ring of invariants of K_f under $\mathfrak{S}_n$ is clearly equal to the ring of invariants of K_i under G. The last part of the proposition thus follows from part (1) of the proposition.

4.8 Corollary. *Let L be the splitting field of a separable polynomial $f(t)$ over the field K and let G be the Galois group. Then K is the ring of invariants under the action of G on L.*

Proof. We have a surjective map $K_f \to L$. It follows from the proposition that $K_f = \prod_{i=1}^{r} K_i e_i$ where $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r$ are the primitive idempotents of K_f, and where L is isomorphic to K_i for $i = 1, 2, \ldots, r$ for a separable field extension L of K. The idempotents $e_1, e_2, \ldots, e_r$ map to either 0 or 1 in L. Since $e_i e_j = 0$ when $i \neq j$ we have that exactly one e_i maps to 1 and the remaining e_j to 0. Hence the map $K_f \to L$ factors via the projection $K_f \to K_i e_i$. Hence we have an isomorphism $L \to L$. It is clear that the Galois group of L is the stabilizer of e_i. Hence it follows from the proposition that K is the ring of invariants of L under the action of G.

4.9 Example. One should not be misled by Corollary (2.5) and Theorem (3.1) to draw too strong conclusions about the relation between the invariants of splitting algebras and the invariants of automorphisms of fields in the case of non-separable extensions. To illustrate this we consider the polynomial $f(t) = t^3 - s$ over the function field $K(s)$ of the variable s over a field K of characteristic 3. Then $K(s)_f = K(s)[\tau_1, \tau_2, \tau_3]$ where $L = K(s)[\tau_3] = K(s)[t]/(t^3 - s)$ is the splitting field of the polynomial $f(t)$ over $K(s)$, which is purely inseparable of degree 3. Let $f_2(t) = f(t)/(t-\tau_3) = (t-\tau_3)^2$ and $K(s)_f = K(s)[\tau_3][t]/((t-\tau_2)^2)$. Hence we have that $(\tau_i - \tau_j)^2 = 0$ in $K(s)_f$ and that $\mathfrak{I} = (\tau_1-\tau_2, \tau_1-\tau_3, \tau_2-\tau_3)$ is the unique maximal ideal of $K(s)_f$. We have that the ideal $\mathfrak{I}$ is stable under the action of the group $\mathfrak{S}_3$. Hence $\mathfrak{S}_3$ acts on the quotient field $K(s)_f/\mathfrak{I}$ which is the splitting field of $t^3 - s$ over $K(s)$. However, we have that $\mathfrak{S}_3$ acts trivially on $K(s)_f/\mathfrak{I}$ because $\sigma\tau_i - \tau_i = \tau_{\sigma^{-1}(i)} - \tau_i$ is in $\mathfrak{I}$ for all i. Hence, although $K(s)$ is the ring of invariants of $K(s)_f$ under $\mathfrak{S}_3$, the group of $K(s)$-automorphisms of L is trivial.

4.10 Remark. Example (4.9) implies that the extension

$$0 \to \mathfrak{I} \to K(s)_f \to L \to 0$$

is non-trivial as an extension of $\mathfrak{S}_3$-modules. More precisely, as $\mathfrak{I}^2 = 0$, we have that $\mathfrak{I}$ is a 1-dimensional L-vector space and it is easily seen that $\mathfrak{S}_3$ acts on it by the signum character. The boundary map of the above exact sequence gives an L-isomorphism $L \to H^1(\mathfrak{S}_3, \mathfrak{I})$.

References

[B] N. Bourbaki, *Algèbre*, Chapitre IV, Polynômes et fractions rationelles., Masson, Paris, 1981.

DEPARTMENT OF MATHEMATICS, S-106 91 STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN, RESP. S-100 44 STOCKHOLM, SWEDEN,

E-mail address: `teke@matematik.su.se, laksov@math.kth.se`